15

App F IX 4

1943 - 44
munl

File No 10-43

Serial Nos 39 40 ~~79 78~~

Previous References
~~mr~~ Dep 180-42
ml 10-41 (105 106)

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

Part B for Febry 44

SUBJECT

Amalgumation of the Professional Tax branch with that of the General Collection staff of the Cobj Municipality

Filed - without order

Continuation Sheet ml File No / Current No 1237/10 of 43 Page

Letter No AS-C 910/41-42 Dt 18 8 43 from the ml Commr, Bcy forwarding proposals for the ~~amalgamation~~ amalgamation of the Profession Tax Branch with that of the General Collection staff-

1) The present proposals result in an extra cost of Rs 2020 Per annum

2) The proposition statement has not been Verified by the audit staff.

3) If appd, the file will be sent unofficially to the Comptroller for favour of Verification of the proposition statement and early return of the file

4) subt for orders

5) A— will be done in the first instance

Land Supdt for 23/8

Land Supdt for 24/8/43

24-8-43

6) Forwarded with Compliments to the Comptroller to the Govt of Bombay, for favour of Verification of the proposition statement & early return

By order

for secy to Govt

Land Deptt 24/8/43

L. O No 69/43 Dt 25 8 43

COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE C. LAND 2 UG 1943 204/SP SPECIAL SECTION

No 21/4-MB dtd 26-8-1943

26/8/43

2781 SR d 21 9 43

U O No 138/C L A D Dated, the 21st September 1943

7) Returned with compliments.----

8) 2 At present, two profession tax Inspectors with yet 3 to 4 years of service before them hold substantive appointments on Rs 60/- plus 10/- C A each, and are borne on the permanent Establishment It is not stated in the Municipal Commissioner's letter of 16/18-8-1943 (enclosed in the file) how their cases would be dealt with if the revision of the scale from Rs 60/- plus 10/- C A to Rs 50/- plus 5/- C A is sanctioned by Government

9) 3 As per the 2nd sentence of Art 275 M S R. they have to be granted a personal allowance of Rs 10/- each per month being the difference between the substantive pay and the maximum of the new scale proposed The total extra cost of the proposed scale will thus work up to Rs 2,260/- per annum and not Rs 2,020/- as noted in the proposition statement

CONTROLLER

10) Submit for orders

11) Information re A will be called for.

12) Approved.

13) Draft a draft letter for approval

14) Issued

WD 1140—GPB—50 000—5-11-42

40 To the ml Comm, Bezwada Dis
No L3803 dy 28-9-43

Bud/A 74/10 Calls for some information
Letter No A5-C 910/41-42 dy 15-1-42
from the ml Comm. Bezwada furnishing
the information called for

It is stated that the pay of the two
Tax Inspectors may be fixed at Rs
50 + 5 CA & that both of them may be
given a personal allowance of Rs 10 each

The extra expenditure in this
behalf will be Rs 2260 as against
Rs 2,020 as originally proposed

It is for orders whether the approval
of the ml cl is not necessary for this
recurring expenditure of Rs 240 pa
sought with reference to para 11 Subra

HKS 28/1 Since the cost of the establishment
has increased to Rs 2260 pa
it is necessary to get
the approval of the Govt. This may be
obtained

Whether the extent to which the Profession
Tax work is proposed to be amalgamated with
the general work of Tax work
will there not be a separate office for assessing
the Profession Tax & Sri ... of the Hqrs
was deputed sometime ago to study the working
of the system of profession tax & Stamps in Tanjore
& How are his services utilized?

Pl put up the file 10-41-106 and ascertain
from the Hqrs office

worked with a draft letter for appl.
ml 10-41 (Rls 105 & 106) is also sent up
8/2 for some time
for 8 years

CT

To the ml Comm, Bur thru Adv. 78
no L 8256 dy 11-2-44
Calls for some information sent
8/2

Rewritten

B

App F XI—41

File No

Serial No

Sus Regr No 9

Shall a reminder issue to

The Comr, Police

for a reply to this Office No 8256 dated the

11/2/44

Subject

Re the amalgamation of the Profession Tax Branch with that of the General Collection staff of the City of

Reminder struck
8/2/44

~~[illegible]~~ 2/4/45

This is pending for over two years without any reminder or answer. Why cannot a reminder be sent?

REMINDERS

No	Date	
1	2/4/45	

B

WD 0382 GPB—6 000—1 8-42

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

SEC & P V SEC 1237/L 20 AUG 1943 L & S 64 BRANCH

No A5-C 910/41-42

Encl

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Bangalore 16th August 19

FROM P Suryanarayana Setti, Esq , B , LL B ,

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER

Bangalore City

10-43-39

LAW DEPT MYSORE

TO

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT

OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 8135/M1 10-41-84 dated 9-3-1943, enquiring about the feasibility of utilising the services of the hands employed for the collection of Profession Tax for purposes of collecting the other taxes in view of the fact that they will not have much work owing to recent orders exempting certain classes of persons including pensioners from payment of Profession Tax, I have the honour to state that proposals received from the Revenue Officer in the matter of amalgamating the profession Tax Branch with that of the General Collection Staff and a detailed note explaining the necessity for approving the proposals of the Revenue Officer with certain modifications in the grades of the Tax Inspectors for reasons stated therein were placed before the Managing Committee and Council who have duly approved of the same as per their resolutions dated 18-2-1943 and 15-4-1943 respectively (copies enclosed for reference) A copy of the note referred to above is also herein enclosed for favour of reference These proposals involve an additional expenditure of Rs 2,020/- per annum which is worthwhile incurring having regard to the very heavy arrears of Municipal Taxes outstanding recovery as observed by Government from time to time The accumulation of such large arrears is attributed to the inadequacy of the collection staff and uneven distribution of demand expected to be collected by each Shanbhogue and also the jurisdiction in which the Shanbhogues have to collect the dues, as explained in the Revenue Officer's report The proposals now approved by the Council are such as to remove the above mentioned defects and also facilitate the inter-change

interchange of the Tax Inspectors with the Revenue Inspectors of the Revenue Department The proposition statements in - duplicate are also enclosed I further suggest that the - Shanbhogues may hereafter be designated as Bill Collectors and the Tax Inspectors as Revenue Inspectors

In these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the resolution of the Council

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Forwarded with compliments

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ADJOURNED MEETING OF COUNCIL DATED 15-4-1943

---

35 Opinion of the Managing Committee dated 18th February 1943 approving the Office note in the matter of re-organisation of the Profession Tax Branch with the General Collection Staff

Resolution - Approved

Sd V K Govindarajulu,

Hon President

"Copy"

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

Proceedings of the Adjourned Council Meeting held on 15-4-1943 (Thursday) at 2 P. . in the City Municipal Offices, Bangalore City

---

Present

V I. Govindrajulu, Esq , B.A , B L.,

President

Members	Members
1. P.H Hanumanthappa	8. P K. Ramiah
2. R Subbanna	9 C, Krishna Rao
3. T.L Gangoji Rao	10. L. Krishnaswamy Iillay
4 V Venkataramanappa	11. H M. Ghouse
5 B.S. Shankarappa Setty	12. A.R.A Basith
6. K Ganga Setty	13. H.B Mohamed Khan
7. K N. Ramaswamy, B.A.,	14. Dr. M. Poyan, M.D
	15. V. Chikkayellappa

Absent.

Members	Members
1. Ramlal Jalwari	8 C V. Narasinga Rao, B A., B.L.
2 L.S Raja, L A (Hons) B.L.	9. H.R. Guruv Reddy, B.A , LL.B.
3. G. Nanjappa	10 L.A. Gopalaswamiengar, M.A , LL
4. K Hanumanthaiya, B.A., LL.B.,	11. L.R Narayana Iyengar, B A , B.L
5 T. Gangadharaswamy	12. B. Subbiah, B.Sc., LL.B ,
6. V. Venkataswamy Chetty	13. Pota Kamakshaiah Setty,
7. K. Ramaiengar, B.A.,	14. Mirza Azeezulla Baig.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE LAN GING CO I ITTEE
MEETING DATED 18-2-1943

---

4 Office Note in the matter of re-organisation of the Profession Tax Branch with the general collection staff

Resolution - Office note approved

Sd V K Govindaraajulu,

Chairman

"Copy'

Municipal Commissioner

mlt

Memo No. M Pr 110/42-43 dated 10th November 1942 from the Revenue Officer, City Municipality, Bangalore City, to the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipality, Bangalore City

---

Reference - Municipal Commissioner's Memo No A5-C 910/41 dated 8-10-1942 regarding the entertainment of additional staff

---

Submitted to the Municipal Commissioner

Detailed reports have been submitted with this office Nos Ml Gl 3205/41 dated 16-12-1941 and Ml Pr 110/41 dated 15-9-1942 explaining the reasons for the entertainment of additional staff In accordance with the instructions issued in the memo referred to above, the following proposals have been submitted taking into consideration, the question of the amalgamation of the profession tax branch

There are at present six Tax Inspectors Five of these are in charge of collection of assessed taxes in the nine divisions and the other one looks after the collection of all Miscellaneous items of revenue Besides these, there are two Tax Inspectors for the collection of profession tax These Tax Inspectors may also be entrusted with the supervision of the collection of assessed tax when the total number of Tax Inspectors will come to seven The appointment of one more Tax Inspector for collection of assessed taxes is quite necessary The work will be distributed among the eight Tax Inspectors as noted in the statement enclosed Each Tax Inspector will be responsible for the collection of assessed taxes to the extent noted therein, and in addition, to the profession tax in his respective jurisdication The work of the Miscellaneous Tax Inspector may continue as at present As regards the pay of the Tax Inspectors, the two profession tax Inspectors, are at present getting R 60/- plus 10/- C A and the other Tax Inspectors are in grade 30-2-50 It is proposed that the pay of the two posts on R 60/- may remain the same and that the pay of four Tax Inspectors may be fixed at Rs 50/- and that of the remaining three at R 40/- These scales of pay are proposed so that it may be possible to interchange Revenue Inspectors of the Revenue Department with the Tax Inspectors and so that the same could be an impetus to good work The Conveyance Allowance may be uniformly fixed at Rs 5/-

As regards Shanbhogs, there are, at present, 24 Shanbhogs ght of these are in grade Rs 25-1-35 plus 5/- C A and the remaining sixteen in grade 20-1-30 plus 5/- C A There are two bill collectors on Rs 15/- plus 5/- C A for the collection of profession tax The tenure of 4 shanbhogs in grade Rs 20-1-30 plus 5/- C A as well as of the Bill Collectors of Profession Tax on Rs 15/- plus 5/- C A is temporary, the appointments being continued from year to year on Government Sanction It is now proposed that this temporary staff may be made permanent The pay of the profession tax Bill Collectors may be raised to Rs 20-1-30 plus 5/- C A they being designated as Shanbhogs Four more Shanbhogs on Rs 20-1-30 plus 5/- C A may be kindly appointed so that the work may be distributed among the thirty Shanbhogs as noted in the appended statement

The present Clerical and menial staff od the profession tax branch may continue.

The proposition statements in duplicate are enclosed

"Copy

P [illegible]

Municipal Commissioner

Room Type	Door Number	Current Demand	Existing Proposed Demand	No of Shutter	Type Proposed	Room Type	Door Number	
I A	625	22805	7068	1		I A	625	9 5
I B	1600	18585	21373	1		I B	800	250
						I B_1	800	335
1x A 1x A_1	1400	35831	22016	2		1x A	800	5000
						1x A_1	600	5331
1x B	1000	17008	17407	1		1x B	1000	700
Total	4625	93729	67854	5		Total	4625	3725
II A	1500	37502	36810	1		II A	950	1527
II B	1350	26225	16388	1		II A_1	950	1200
II C	1100	31442	28522	1		II B	950	1000
III A	1600	39217	22387	1		II C	1100	1443
III B	1300	28590	33733	1		Total	3950	169
III C III C_1	2200	34443	33412	2		III A	1000	260
						III A_1	950	260
						III B	950	26
						III C	750	1148
						III C_1	750	1148
						III C_2	700	1148
Total	9050	197419	171252	7		Total	5100	1251
IV A	1100	25563	44927	1		IV A	650	1499
IV B	1100	19423	24348	1		IV A_1	650	1399
Total	2200	44986	69275	2		IV B	900	1400
						Total	2200	4948

ed proposed allocation of Executive staff

rent expend	Proposed Arrears Demand	Shortage / Tax Inspectors	Remarks
805		1	As this divisions contains government
250		1	Buildings and also a good no of
335		1	buildings with heavy assessments
5000		1	only one Tax Inspector is proposed for
5331		1	two divisions
1008		1	
129	67852	6	only one Inspector is proposed inspite of the heavy demand as the distance and area is very small.
527		1	
200		1	
000		1	
442		1	
169	81720	4	
607		1	
600		1	
6000		1	——— do ———
481		1	
481		1	
1481		1	
2250	89,532	6	One Inspector is proposed as the area
4996		1	is vast and also there is heavy
3990		1	arrears
5000		1	
1986	69275	3	~~The area is very vast~~

Division	Door Numbers	Current Demand	Arrears Demand
V A	950	15709	12159
V B	950	15710	12159
Total	1900		
VI A	900	14656	19853
VI A2	900	10445	11376
VI B	800	23308	12430
Total	2600	79828	67975
VII A	900	31227	14221
VII B	750	19283	16456
VIII A } VIII A1 }	1150	30555	20246
VIII B	750	19584	8550
Total	1450	100651	59673
Grand Total	24825	166713	430571

Number of Chambers

Tax Inspectors

Division	Door Numbers	current Demand	Arrears Demand
V A	950	15709	
V B	950	15710	
Total	1900	31419	26318
VI A1	900	14656	
VI A2	900	10445	
VI B	800	23308	
Total	2600	48409	43667
VII A	900	24000	
VII A1	800	13000	
VII B	850	13312	
Total	2550	50312	32677
VIII A } VIII A1 }	1150	30555	
VIII B	750	19584	
Total	1900	50139	28984
	24825	166713	430571

Current Demand	Arrears Demand	Number of Shaublys	Tax Suspended	Remarks
15709		1		
15710		1		
31419	26318	2		The area is very poor
14656		1		
10443		1		
23308		1		
48409	43657	3		The area is Pretty poor and also
24000		1		there are arrears
13000	"	1		
13512		1		
50812	30677	3		The area is Pretty poor and also
30558		2		there is arrears
19584		1		
50139	28996	3	1	
516613	430571	20	8	1

N Sivasankara Rao
Revenue Officer
Allur
24/11/62

Regarding the reorganisation of the Collection Staff.

As per orders, fresh proposals from the Revenue Officer have been called for and submitted The Revenue Officer has also submitted proposition statement involving an extra cost of Rs.2,500/- per year In view of the fact that a large amount by way of arrears remains outstanding, the entertainment of additional staff as proposed by the Revenue Officer is necessary. If approved the subject will be placed before the Managing Committee in the 1st instance,

Note

1' The annual demand and collection under Profession Tax are Rs 27,000/- and Rs 18,000/- approximately With the abolition of this tax on pensioners and on persons with a monthly income of less than Rs 100/-, the work in this branch has been considerably reduced The annual expenditure on this branch Rs.3,300/- approximately The Government have observed that the expenditure incurred on this establishment is quite disproportionate to the income derived from it and that it should be reduced Besides, there appears to be no justification for the continuance of a separate section for ~~the~~ an annual demand of Rs 27

'2 The arrears of Municipal taxes outstanding recovery are very heavy. The Government have called for proposals to improve collections. Whenever the Government are requested to sanction any contribution or grant, they observe that the Municipality should stand on its own legs by collecting the heavy outstanding arrears. On several occasions, the Councillors have expressed that the collection should be improved, and that they should sanction additional staff, if necessary At present, the distribution of demand and also jurisdiction among the Shanbhogues and Tax Inspectors are quite uneven To ensure good collection, the entertainment of certain additional staff and even distribution of work are absolutely necessary In the light of these facts, the proposals of the Revenue Officer appear to be quite suitable for adoption As noted hereunder, the proposals of the Revenue Officer involve an annual additional expenditure of Rs 2,500/- This has been provided in the current year's budget

Existing Scale	Scale proposed by the Revenue Officer.
Permanent establishment	
2. Profession Tax Inspectors on Rs 60 - 10 C A	2 T I on 60 - 5
4 -do- 30-2-50 + 5 CA	4 T I on 50 - 5
Temporary Establishment	
2 Tax Inspectors -do-	3 T I on 40 + -d
Permanent Establishment	
8 Shanbhogues on Rs 25-1-35 + 5 C A.	22 Shanbhogues on
12 -do- 20-1-30 -do-	20-1-30 + 5 C.
	8 25-1-35 + -do-
Temporary Establishment	
4 Shanbhogues on Rs 20-1-30 + 5 C.A	
2 Profession Tax Bill Collectors on 15 + 5 C.A	
Total Annual Cost Rs 15,000/-	Total annual cost Rs 17,500/

With a view to see that the posts of Tax Inspectors are interchangeable with those of the Revenue Inspectors in the Revenue Department, it is feasible to fix the pay of the four Tax Inspectors at Rs.50/- plus 5 C A and of five Tax Inspectors at Rs 40/- plus 5 C

Another important point to be kept in mind with regard to the amalgamation of the Professional Tax Branch with the Revenue Collection staff is that there is no necessity for any separate collecting agents for Profession Tax whose demand is only Rs 27,000 out of this demand, there was a collection of only Rs 18,000 during the last year and this work could without any difficulty be entrusted to the

entrusted to the Revenue Collection staff whose work is nothing but collection work In fact, if this work is entrusted to the Revenue collection staff, they could easily spot out the persons and collect the Profession Tax along with the recovery of other dues In fact, the Revenue Inspectors in Taluks are entrusted with the collection of so many kinds of dues ~~entrusted with~~ such as I.T arrears, co-operative Society dues, Agricultural dues, Village Panchayet dues Record Right dues etc., in addition to the collection of Land Revenue When compared with the work of the Revenue Inspectors in the Taluks, the work of the Revenue Inspectors in the Municipality is nothing at all In view of all these, it is very necessary that the Profession Tax Branch be amalgamated with the general revenue collection staff and the recommendation of the Revenue Officer may be approved with the modification of the grade of Revenue Inspectors as suggested in my note above

The scale proposed by the office will be as follows

5	Tax Inspectors	on Rs 40/- plus 5 C.A.	Rs 2,700/-
4	Tax Inspectors	on Rs 50/- plus 5 C.A.	Rs 2,640/-
8	Shanbhogues	on Rs 25-1-35 -do-	Rs 3,466/-
22	-do-	on Rs 20-1-30 -do-	Rs 8,214/-
		Total	Rs 17,020/-

Total annual cost is Rs 17,020/- as proposed by office
Total annual cost is Rs 17,500/- as proposed by the Revenue Officer
Existing cost per annum Rs 15,000/-
Extra cost involved Rs 2,020/-

The extra cost of Rs 2,020/- is worthwhile incurring, having regard to the improved collections . This may be placed before the Managing Committee

Sd A. Khan,

Municipal Commissioner

Copy

Municipal Commissioner

(Rule 282)

Statement of Proposition for revi...

Order sanctioning present Establishment		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of charges										Pro...	
			Present scale											
					Pay									
Number	Date		Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average cost	Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment		
								Permanent Establishment						
			2	Taxsun	60		60+10 CA	140	4	Taxsun peon	50			
			4	Taxsun + peon	30	2	50+5 CA	188 9/9	5	-do-	40			
			6					328 8/9	9					
								Temporary Establishment						
L 7376 77/me 24-38 103 d 11/3/38		Bangalore City Revenue Officer	2	Taxsun + peon	30	2	50+5 CA	94 4/9						
			Total		8			423 1/3	9					
								Permanent Establishment						
			8	Sham bloggs	25		35+5 CA	288 8/9	8	Sham bloggs	25			
			12	-do-	20		30+5 CA	313 1/3	22	-do-	20			
			20					662 2/9	30					
								Temporary Establishment						
L 7376 77/me 24-38 103 d 11/3/38			4	Sham bloggs	20		+30+5 CA	124 4/9						
L 8557 58/me 22-40 38 d 18/5/38			2	Peon Collection Fee Bloggs	15		15+5 CA	40						
			Total		26			826 2/3	30					
			Grand Total		34			1250	39					

Present Scale

Verified and found correct

6/8 Lemanahalli...

A/c DLR, C

R. J. Ry 6/8

of establishment of the Collection Branch of the Revenue Office, Bangalore City Municipality

Scale		Proposition							
		Permanent		Temporary					
Maximum	Average cost	Increase per month	Decrease per month	Increase per month	Period	Decrease per month	Period	Grounds of proposition	Number and date of Council resolution
	50+5 CA 220	116 1/9							
	40+5 CA 225								
	445	116 1/9							
					one month 94 4/9				
	445	116 1/9							
					94 4/9	net 21 20/81			
	35+5 CA 288 8/9	311 1/9							
	20+5 CA 684 4/9								
	973 1/3	311 1/9						Vide detailed relaxation Proceedings Subject No 3 dated 15-4-1915	
					one month 164 4/9				
	973 1/3	311 1/9			164 4/9	net 146 20/81			
	1418 1/3	427 2/9			258 8/9	net 168 1/3 on Rs 2020 per year			

Municipal Commissioner
Bangalore City Municipality
17/8/15

(Rule 282)

Statement of Proposition for revi/

Order sanctioning present establishment		Office to which the proposition refers	Nature of charges										
			Present scale								Propo/		
					Pay								p
Number	Date		Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	Maximum	Average cost	Number	Designation	Minimum	Increment	
								permanent establishment					
		Bangalore Municipality	2	Prof Tax Inspector	60	—	60+10 c A	140	4	Tax Inspector	50	—	
			4	Tax and rates	30	2	50+5 c A	188 8/9	5	do	40	—	
			6	"	"	"	"	328 8/9	9	"	"	"	
								Temporary establishment					
L 7376 77/ml 24 38 -103d 11 3 29			2	Tax Inspector	30	2	50+5 c A	94 4/9					
			Total	8				423 1/3	9				
								permanent establishment					
			8	Sham-bloggers	25	1	35+5 c A	288 8/9	8	Sham-bloggs	25		
			12	do	20	1	30+5 c A	373 1/3	22	do	20		
			20					662 2/9	30				
		Revenue Subordinate						Temporary establishment					
L 7376-77/ml 24-38-103d 11 3 29 L 8557-58/ml 22-40-38 d 28			4	Shambloggers	20	1	30+5 c A	124 4/9					
			2	Bill collectors PTCard	15	—	15+5 c A	40					
			Total	26				826 2/3	4				
			Grand total	34				1250	39				

present scale
verified & found correct
as on 4 9 43
by auditor

Asst Comm & Ex Officio Secy


Proposition
Permanent
Temporary
Increase per month
Decrease per month
Increase per month
Decrease per month
Period
Amount
Period
Amount
Grounds of proposition
Number and date of Council resolution
Average cost
Revenue Officer, Bangalore
Rule declared void by Supreme Court in Writ Petition No 35 dated 15-4-1963

12

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ml

File No 10-43

Serial No 40

Diary No of letter replied to

No L3803

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

To

The ml Comm, Bcg ~~the Pobi~~

Sir,

With reference to your letter No AS c 910/41-42 8/8-43 on the matter of amalgamating ~~the~~ the Profession tax Branch with that of the general collection staff of the city mcp, I am directed to state that ~~as~~ from the proposition stated in the market it is seen that, at present, two Profession tax Inspectors with yet 3 to 4 years of service before them

Date of issue 2819

Signed by

List of enclosures

Codied by

Examined by

04161—GPB—60 000—24 10 41

hold substantive appointments
on Rs 60+10 CA each, & are borne
on the permanent establishment,
& that it is not stated by you how
their cases would be dealt with
4/ the revision of the scale from Rs 60+10 CA
to Rs 50+5 CA is sanctioned,

I am therefore to request you
to be so good as to furnish information
on the point referred to above Yr[illegible]

I have etc

for
Hon Secy to Govt
Mlo
27/9 Rs Deptt

4518

L S G BRANCH

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

A5-C 910/41-42

MUNICIPAL OFFICES
Bangalore 13th Janv 1944

Encl

Mil 10-43 77

FROM

P SURYANARAYANA SETTI ESQ B A LL B
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

LOY LA. DEPT

TO

W. Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L
SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
LAW DEPARTMENT BANGALORE

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 3803/M1 10-43-40, dated 28-9-1943 enquiring as to how the cases of the two Profession Tax Inspectors who hold substantive appointments on Rs 60/- plus Rs 10/- C A , would be dealt with if the revision of the scale from Rs 60/- plus Rs 10/- C A to Rs 50/- plus Rs 5/- C A , is sanctioned I have the honour to state that the pay of the two Profession Tax Inspectors referred to above may be fixed at Rs 50/- plus Rs 5/- C A and both of them may be given a personal allowance of Rs 10/-, under Article 275 of the Mysore Service Regulation, till they are absorbed on a pay of Rs 60/- in due course

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

T Suryanarayana Setti
Municipal Commissioner
18/1

Forwarded with compliments

19/1/44

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Section ml
File No 60—43
Serial No 78

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 8256

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

The ml Commr, Board of Revenue Odh

ate of issue 11/2/44

Signed by Asst GRS

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter NO AS C 910/41-42 dt 05-1-44 re the amalgamation of the Profession Tax Branch with that of the general Collection staff of the esp mfl, I am directed to request you to obtain & forward a resolution of the ml bd agreeing to meet the increased cost (Rs 2267) of Rs 2 0 0 p.m. as stipulated

- List of enclosures

Copied by

as

Examined by

Information may also be furnished on the

following points –

1) What is the extent to which the profession tax work is proposed to be amalgamated with the general Mohatarfa Tax work?

2) Will there be a separate officer for assessing the Profession tax?

3) Was not an officer of the Mof deputed about two months sometime ago to study the working of the system of Profession tax obtaining in the Trichinopoly city?

(4) Has he submitted any reports?

5 How are his services proposed to be utilised in this connection?

Ihave etc

for

Govt Secy to Govt

Law Deptt

8/2